

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/695,538	10/28/2003	Alan E. Kasten	DP-310213	6433
22851	7590 05/19/2005		EXAM	INER
DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			OMGBA, ESSAMA	
M/C 480-410-			ARTIBUT	DADED MURADED
PO BOX 5052			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TROY, MI 4	48007		3726	

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\J\dagger				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/695,538	KASTEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Essama Omgba	3726				
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address —				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Af	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on					
•—	· ——					
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the app	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v						
5) Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 19-22</u> is/are allowed.	Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 19-22</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-13 and 16-18</u> is/are rejecte	Claim(s) <u>11-13 and 16-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14 and 15</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>14 and 15</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been	pplication No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/28/03.	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/695,538 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because in line 1, the phrase "Disclosed herein is" should be deleted. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 7, line 31, reference numeral "14" second occurrence should read --16--; on page 8, line 15, "laser dot generator" should read --laser line generator--; on page 9, lines 14-15, the phrase "will appear as a being lighter" is not clear, in line 26, the phrase "As will be discussed in greater" is not clear; on page 10, line 7, a dot (--.-- punctuation mark) should be inserted after "angle"; and on page 12, line 13, "Figure 3" should read -- Figure 5--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 11, 12, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hara et al. (US Patent 6,141,863).

Application/Control Number: 10/695,538

Art Unit: 3726

With regards to claims 11 and 18, Hara et al. discloses a method of disposing a fit-in workpiece 7 in a receiving workpiece 8, the method comprising projecting a laser line onto a side of the workpieces, verifying an alignment of the workpieces from a viewing source and fitting the fit-in workpiece into the receiving workpiece, see column 4, lines 29-65, column 5, lines 1-5 and 42-50. Applicant should note that the substrate the fit-in workpiece could be considered two substrates as it includes two different portions 71 and 72.

For claim 12, see column 5, lines 58-63.

For claim 17, Applicant should note that the alignment system of Hara et al. could be used with workpieces with non cylindrical geometry, see column 11, lines 61-67 and column 12, lines 1-28.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hara et al. (US Patent 6,141,863).

With regards to claims 13, Hara et al. discloses a method of disposing a fit-in workpiece in a receiving workpiece as shown above except for the projected laser line being perpendicular to a joint of the two substrates. However projecting the laser line to

Application/Control Number: 10/695,538

Art Unit: 3726

be perpendicular to a joint of the substrates is an obvious matter of design choice wherein no stated problem is solved or unexpected results obtained in having the projected laser line be perpendicular to the joint of the substrates versus the method

taught by Hara et al. as long as a proper alignment is obtained.

For claim 16, Applicant should note the wrapping of a retention material around the substrates lends no patentable weight to the method being claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1-10 and 19-22 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 14 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Essama Omgba whose telephone number is (571) 272-4532. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10-7:30) First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,538 Page 5

Art Unit: 3726

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Essama Omgba Primary Examiner Art Unit 3726

eo May 16, 2005